

South Dakota State University

Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

South Dakota Swine Field Day Proceedings and
Research Reports, 1983

Animal Science Reports

1983

The Effects of Sarsaponin and Bacitracin MD on Performance of Growing-Finishing Pigs

G. W. Libal

South Dakota State University

R. C. Wahlstrom

South Dakota State University

Roland Hanson

South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_swine_1983

Recommended Citation

Libal, G. W.; Wahlstrom, R. C.; and Hanson, Roland, "The Effects of Sarsaponin and Bacitracin MD on Performance of Growing-Finishing Pigs" (1983). *South Dakota Swine Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1983*. Paper 11.
http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/sd_swine_1983/11

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Reports at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in South Dakota Swine Field Day Proceedings and Research Reports, 1983 by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.



THE EFFECTS OF SARSAPONIN AND BACITRACIN MD ON PERFORMANCE OF GROWING-FINISHING PIGS

G. W. Libal, R. C. Wahlstrom and Roland Hanson

Department of Animal and Range Sciences

SWINE 83-10

Feed additives have been used to promote growth and feed efficiency of growing-finishing pigs for over 30 years. Typically, greatest response is found during early stages of growth and less response during the finishing period under conditions of good management. Recently, sarsaponin, a naturally occurring plant steroid derived from the yucca plant, has become available as a feed additive under the commercial name, Micro-Aid. Claims for this product include increased performance of pigs during the finishing period. The antibiotic, Bacitracin MD, also has been shown to improve performance during later stages of growth more than is expected of most feed additives.

The study reported herein was designed to evaluate the response of pigs to these two feed additives when fed separately or in combination.

Experimental Procedure

One hundred twenty crossbred feeder pigs from one source were randomly allotted to the four treatment groups on the basis of sex and weight. Each pen consisted of three barrows and three gilts. Experimental treatments were as follows:

1. Bacitracin MD (40 g/ton)
2. Micro-Aid (2 oz/ton) + Bacitracin MD (40 g/ton)
3. Control
4. Micro-Aid (2 oz/ton)

Starting weights for the five replications of the four treatments averaged 68.8, 63.2, 58.2, 54.2 and 49.3 lb for replications one through five, respectively. All replications were started on test the same day, November 17, 1982. The experiment was terminated by pen when average pen weight reached 225 lb on the weekly weigh day. Termination dates were between February 16 and March 18, 1983. Diets contained 16 and 14% protein during the growing and finishing period, respectively. Pigs were owned by and feed supplied by Farmers Cooperative Society of Sioux Center, IA who were cooperators on the study. Micro-Aid and financial support were provided by Distributors Processing, Inc. who manufacture Micro-Aid. Pigs were provided 10 sq ft of pen space and were housed in the environment-modified confinement barn at the Southeast South Dakota Experiment Farm at Beresford, South Dakota.

Results and Discussion

The results of the trial are shown in table 1. No significant differences among treatments were observed. It is interesting to note that the slowest gains were observed for pigs receiving no feed additive during both the growing and the finishing periods. However, this difference is not significant. Performance of all pens was good, averaging over 1.6 lb gain/day. Typically, little response to feed additives is observed when performance of the pigs is at a high level.

Feed consumption varied between pens and treatments but was not consistently associated with treatments. Feed per unit of gain was unaffected by experimental treatment.

Table 1. Effect of Bacitracin MD and Micro-Aid on Performance of Growing-Finishing Pigs

Bacitracin MD	+	+	-	-
Micro-Aid	-	+	-	+
<hr/>				
<u>Pig Weights, lb</u>				
Start	58.8	58.5	58.9	58.8
Mid	126.1	127.1	133.6	130.1
End	228.9	231.2	229.3	229.2
<hr/>				
<u>Average Daily Gain, lb</u>				
Grower	1.65	1.60	1.57	1.61
Finisher	1.64	1.63	1.55	1.65
Overall	1.64	1.62	1.56	1.63
<hr/>				
<u>Average Daily Feed, lb</u>				
Grower	5.02	4.88	4.65	4.75
Finisher	6.15	6.60	6.32	7.08
Overall	5.67	5.92	5.60	6.06
<hr/>				
<u>Feed/Gain</u>				
Grower	3.04	3.05	2.96	2.95
Finisher	3.75	4.05	4.08	4.29
Overall	3.46	3.65	3.59	3.72

Summary

A total of 120 feeder pigs were used in this experiment to study the effect on pig performance of feeding diets containing 40 g/ton of Bacitracin MD, 2 g/ton of Micro-Aid or the combination of these two additives in swine feed.

Under the conditions of this experiment with above average performance and management, no advantage was observed for including either Micro-Aid or Bacitracin MD in the diets of growing-finishing pigs.